## PATENT COOPERATION TREA

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No.   International lifting date (day/month/year)   (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)	Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER  see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable. Item 5 below.				
PCT/CA 01/ 00825			(Farliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
Applicant  WEBHANCER CORP.  This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This International Search Report consists of a total of						
This international Search Report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 19, A copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau.  This international Search Report consists of a total of		07/06/2001	07/06/2000			
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau.  This International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant		,			
This International Search Report has been prepared by this international Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the international Bureau.  This International Search Report consists of a total of	   WEBHANCER CORP.					
This international Search Report consists of a total of						
t is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basis of the report  2. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.    the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Fule 23.1(b)).    With regard to any nucleotide and/or amfino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:    contained in the international application in written form.   filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   the statement that the subsequently (unished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   The statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished to the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished to the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this internatio	This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth Insmitted to the International Bureau.	orlty and is transmitted to the applicant			
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.    the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).    With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:   contained in the international application in written form.   filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been trunished.   Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).   Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the title,			report.			
the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).  b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been turnished.  the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  The text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of melling of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  2 None of the figures.	1. Basis of the report					
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the International application, the International search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:    contained in the international application in written form.   filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).   Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).   With regard to the title,			is of the international application in the			
was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPED  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	the international search was Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of th	e international application furnished to this			
contained in the international application in written form.    filed together with the international application in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.   the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished   The statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished to the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished to the written sequence listing has been furnished to the written sequence listing has been furnished.   With regard to the title,	<ul> <li>b. With regard to any nucleotide and was carried out on the basis of the</li> </ul>	d/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the inits sequence listing:	ernational application, the International search			
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filled has been furnished.  the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  In the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPEED  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  None of the figures.  Decause the applicant (alled to suggest a figure.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form.  the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  The text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK COMMUNICATION SPBED  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  None of the figures.  None of the figures.	filed together with the inter	national application in computer readable form	ı <b>.</b>			
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as flied has been furnished.  the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK COMMUNICATION SPEED.  With regard to the abstract,  X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  Y as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	[urnished subsequently to	this Authority in written form.				
international application as filled has been furnished.  the statement that the Information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.  Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  In the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK COMMUNICATION SPEED.  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.  None of the figures.	[umished subsequently to	this Authority in computer readble form,				
Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).  Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPEED  With regard to the abstract,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	the statement that the sub international application as	sequently furnished written sequence listing do s flied has been furnished.	es not go beyond the disclosure in the			
3. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box II).  4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK COMMUNICATION SPEED  5. With regard to the abstract,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	the statement that the Info furnished	rmation recorded in computer readable form is	identical to the written sequence listing has been			
4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPEED  5. With regard to the abstract,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, with the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).				
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.  IX the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPEED  5. With regard to the abstract,  IX the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  IX as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	3. Unity of Invention is lack	sing (see Box II).				
the text has been established by this authority to read as follows:  METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPEED  5. With regard to the abstract,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	4. With regard to the title,					
METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING TO NETWORK  COMMUNICATION SPEED  5. With regard to the abstract,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.    None of the figures.	the text is approved as sub	omitted by the applicant.	•			
5. With regard to the abstract,  The text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.  Because the applicant falled to suggest a figure.	the text has been establish	ned by this Authority to read as follows:				
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.  Because the applicant falled to suggest a figure.		SELECTING CONTENT ACCORDING	TO NETWORK			
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.    X   as suggested by the applicant.   None of the figures.	5. With regard to the abstract,					
within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.  6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.  because the applicant falled to suggest a figure.						
6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.  X as suggested by the applicant.  because the applicant falled to suggest a figure.	the text has been establish within one month from the	ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority date of malling of this international search repo	y as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, ort, submit comments to this Authority.			
as suggested by the applicant.  Decause the applicant falled to suggest a figure.  None of the figures.			2			
	INC.		None of the figures.			
because this figure better characterizes the invention.	: because the applicant (alle	d to suggest a figure.				
	because this figure better of	characterizes the invention.				

A. CLASSIF	A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 H04L29/06 G06F17/30							
110 /	11C / NO4C29/00 GOOF1//30 "							
<del></del>	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification (	alion and IPC						
Minimum do	currentation searched (classification system followed by classification	on symbols)						
IPC 7	1809							
Documentali	on searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	uch documents are included, in the fields sea	rched					
,		ool goodhallb Bo Waldod III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I						
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, search terms used)						
EPO-Int	ternal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC, COMPE	INDEX, IBM-TDB						
		·						
<u> </u>	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		B-1					
Calegory *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evani passages	Relevant to claim No.					
x	WO OO 25224 A (MATCHLOGIC INC)		1-6					
	4 May 2000 (2000-05-04)							
	page 9, line 7 -page 10, line 2 page 15, line 21 -page 20, line 1							
	page 15, Title 21 -page 20, Title 13							
1.								
'								
i								
:								
;								
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.  Patent family members are tisted in annox.								
" Special cal	legories of cited documents :	"T later document published after the inter	national filing date					
	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention							
	"E" carlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to							
"L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y' document of padicular relevance; the claimed invention								
citation or other special reason (as specified)  cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the  'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document is combined with one or more other such docu-								
'P' docume	other means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.  *P* document published prior to the international filling date but in the art.							
<u> </u>	Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of the actual completion of the international search  Date of mailing of the international search report							
!	3 December 2001	21/12/2001	•					
<u> </u>	naling address of the ISA	Authorized officer						
Name Silu II	European Palent Office, P.8. 5818 Palentiaan 2 NL = 2280 HV Rijswijk	Authorized officer						
	Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040. Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Karavassilis, N						

1

DEC 18 '02 04:45PM CASSAN MACLEANL SEARCH REPORT

ation on patent family members

T/CA 01/00825

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0025224	A	04-05-2000	US AU WO	2001010059 A1 1453000 A 0025224 A1	26-07-2001 15-05-2000 04-05-2000